Variation in the faecal shedding of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157:H7 in lactating dairy cattle and examination of *Salmonella* genotypes using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

T.S. Edrington¹, M.E. Hume¹, M.L. Looper², C.L. Schultz¹, A.C. Fitzgerald², T.R. Callaway¹, K.J. Genovese¹, K.M. Bischoff¹, J.L. McReynolds¹, R.C. Anderson¹ and D.J. Nisbet¹

¹Food and Feed Safety Research Unit, Southern Plains Agricultural Research Center, USDA, ARS, College Station, TX, USA, and ²Department of Animal and Range Sciences, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM, USA

2003/1081: received 25 November 2003, revised 16 January 2004 and accepted 22 January 2004

ABSTRACT

T.S. EDRINGTON, M.E. HUME, M.L. LOOPER, C.L. SCHULTZ, A.C. FITZGERALD, T.R. CALLAWAY, K.J. GENOVESE, K.M. BISCHOFF, J.L. McREYNOLDS, R.C. ANDERSON AND D.J. NISBET. 2004.

Aims: To examine the variability in faecal shedding of *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in healthy lactating dairy cattle and to evaluate the genetic relatedness of *Salmonella* isolates.

Methods: Faecal samples were obtained from lactating Holstein dairy cattle on four commercial farms in the southwestern US. All farms were within an 8-km radius and were sampled in August 2001, January 2002 and August 2002 (60 cows per farm per sampling; n = 720 total samples). Samples were cultured for *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* and a portion of the recovered *Salmonella* isolates were examined for genetic relatedness using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

Results: Faecal shedding of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* varied considerably between farms and at the different sampling times. Large fluctuations in the percentage of positive animals were observed from summer to summer for both of these pathogens. Similarly, *Salmonella* serotype and serotype prevalence varied from farm to farm and within farm from one sampling time to another. Multiple *Salmonella* genotypes were detected for a number of serotypes and identical genotypes were found on different farms with one genotype of *Salmonella* Senftenberg identified on three of the four farms.

Significance and Impact of the Study: This study demonstrated the wide variability in pathogen shedding within and among dairy farms all located in a small geographical region and highlights the complexity of pathogen control at the farm level.

Keywords: dairy cattle, E. coli O157:H7, genotype, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis, Salmonella.

INTRODUCTION

Ruminants are natural reservoirs for *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and typically appear nonsymptomatic while shedding these bacteria into the environment (Gansheroff and O'Brien 2000). Although shedding is intermittent and often difficult to detect, these pathogens have been

Correspondence to: T.S. Edrington, Food and Feed Safety Research Unit, Southern Plains Agricultural Research Center, USDA, ARS, College Station, TX 77845, USA (e-mail: edrington@ffsru.tamu.edu).

isolated from dairy and beef cattle at all stages of production and appear to be fairly widespread throughout the bovine population (Fedorka-Cray *et al.* 1998; Hancock *et al.* 1998; Elder *et al.* 2000).

Previous research has indicated that faecal shedding of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* varies due to season and possibly geographical location (Hancock *et al.* 1997; Wells *et al.* 1998; Galland *et al.* 2001; Troutt *et al.* 2001). Spatial and temporal clustering of *Salmonella* has been reported (Sato *et al.* 2001), leading some to suggest that epidemiology

may differ among Salmonella strains (Besser et al. 2000). However, it is important to note that these isolates were all obtained from sick, diarrhetic dairy cattle. Research conducted by our laboratory reported a wide diversity of Salmonella species in healthy, lactating dairy cattle and indicated that this population of animals is a significant source of potential contamination of the food chain and environment (Fitzgerald et al. 2002).

To examine the variation in faecal shedding of Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 and changes in Salmonella serotype prevalence in healthy, lactating dairy cattle, we sampled four commercial farms in the southwestern US, all within an 8km radius, on three successive dates approximately 6 months apart. Furthermore, we used pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) to compare the genetic relatedness of individual Salmonella isolates collected from these animals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source and collection of faecal samples

Lactating Holstein cows were sampled on four large (2000– 3000 cows) commercial dairies in the southwestern US. Faecal samples were collected from 60 cows (early lactation, <100 days in milk) on each farm in the morning of the same day in August 2001, January 2002 and August 2002. Cows were restrained in self-locking stanchions and approx. 30 g of faecal material was obtained via rectal palpation. Faecal samples were shipped on ice to the Food and Feed Safety Research Unit in College Station, TX, USA, for isolation of E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella.

Bacterial culture and isolation

Escherichia coli O157:H7 was cultured as described previously (Elder et al. 2000). Briefly, 10 g of faeces was enriched in 90 ml of Gram-negative broth containing vancomycin, cefixime and cefsulodin for 6 h at 37°C. This was followed by immunomagnetic bead separation and enrichment using anti-E. coli O157:H7 antibody-labelled paramagnetic beads (Neogen Corp., Lansing, MI, USA). Fifty microlitres of the resulting suspension was then spread onto CHROMagarTM (DRG International, Mountain Side, NJ, USA) plates containing potassium tellurite. Plates were incubated (18 h, 37°C) and three sorbitol-negative colonies exhibiting typical E. coli O157:H7 colony phenotype were selected from each plate. Selected colonies were cultured in 2 ml of MacConkey broth and tryptic soya broth (TSB) for 18 h at 37°C. Aliquots of the broth cultures were heat-killed (100°C, 5 min) and tested for reactivity with anti-E. coli O157 monoclonal antibody 13B3 and anti-H7 monoclonal antibody 2B7 by enzyme-linked immunoassay. Isolates

identified as E. coli O157:H7 were stored in glycerol (10%, v/v) and TSB at -80°C.

Salmonella was cultured by enriching approx. 10 g of faecal material in 90 ml tetrathionate broth for 24 h at 37°C. Following incubation, 200 μ l of the above enrichment was added to 5 ml Rappaport-Vassiliadis R10 broth and incubated for an additional 24 h at 42°C before spread plating on brilliant green agar (BGA) supplemented with novobiocin (25 μg ml⁻¹). Colonies exhibiting typical Salmonella morphology were confirmed biochemically using lysine and triple sugar iron agars. Salmonella-positive samples were confirmed by slide agglutination using SM-O antiserum poly A-I and V-I, and group C1 factors. Salmonella isolates were stored in glycerol (10%, v/v) and TSB at -80°C. Serotyping was performed by the National Veterinary Services Laboratory in Ames, IA, USA.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis

Salmonella isolates collected in August 2001 and January 2002 were analysed by PFGE as described previously (Hume et al. 2001). Briefly, Salmonella isolates were thawed and spread on BGA plates and incubated as above. A single colony from each plate was picked and incubated overnight in 10 ml of TSB. Cells were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) by centrifugation (8000 g) and maintained in a final suspension of 5 ml PBS. Washed cells were placed in a water bath (45°C) and mixed with equal volumes of 1.8% (w/v) low melting temperature agarose in PBS. Cells with agarose were transferred to disposable plug moulds for polymerization (4°C). Plugs were incubated (50°C, 72 h) in 20 ml of lysis buffer [1% (w/v) sodium lauryl sarcosine; 0.5 M EDTA, pH 9-9.3; and 0.2 mg ml⁻¹ proteinase K] before washing twice (30 min, 4°C) in TE (10 mm Tris; pH 8·0; 1 mm EDTA). Plugs containing lysed cells were washed (3×; 1 h each) in 40 ml of cold TE containing 40 µl of phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (100 mM in isopropanol) and then washed three additional times (1 h) in cold TE. One quarter of each plug was incubated with XbaI restriction endonuclease. Conditions for PFGE were: initial switch time = 0.1 s; final switch time = 90 s; included angle = 120° ; 6 V cm⁻¹; buffer temperature = 12°C; run time = 22 h. Genotypic relatedness was determined with Molecular Analysis Fingerprinting Software, version 1.6 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA).

RESULTS

The prevalence of E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella in faecal samples obtained from lactating dairy cattle are presented by farm and sampling time in Table 1. Escherichia coli O157:H7 was isolated only in the summer sampling times with no

Table 1 Prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* isolated from faeces of lactating dairy cows at three seasonal sampling times*

Farm	Sampling time	E. coli (D157:H7	Salmonella	
		\overline{n}	%	\overline{n}	%
A	August 2001	21	35	15	25
	January 2002	0	0	0	0
	August 2002	1	1.7	22	37
В	August 2001	1	1.7	18	30
	January 2002	0	0	1	1.7
	August 2002	4	6.7	37	62
C	August 2001	2	3.3	56	93
	January 2002	0	3·3 0	16	27
	August 2002	0	0	52	87
D	August 2001	17	28	9	15
	January 2002	0	0	1	1.7
	August 2002	6	10	55	92

^{*}Sixty lactating cows sampled on each farm at each sampling time.

positive samples found on any farm in January. The percentage of cows shedding *E. coli* O157:H7 varied from farm to farm (ranging from 0 to 35%) and from summer to summer on the same farm (1·7–35%). *Salmonella* shedding also showed a seasonality pattern, with a much lower percentage of cows shedding at the winter sampling time. The one exception was farm C, where 27% of the cows were positive for *Salmonella* in the winter. Farm C also had the highest frequency of summer samples positive for *Salmonella* each year. Summer to summer variation within farm was greater for *Salmonella* shedding, particularly in farms B and C, with a two- and sixfold increase observed in the number of positive samples from the summer of 2001 to the summer of 2002 respectively.

A comparison of Salmonella serotype prevalence by farm and sampling time is presented in Table 2. Overall, a total of 22 different serotypes were identified with Montevideo, Mbandaka, Kentucky and Senftenberg serotypes the most prevalent. Differences in serotype prevalence due to sampling time were found among farms and when serotypes were pooled across farms. On farm A, Salmonella Newport and Give were the most prevalent serotypes in the summer of 2001; however, no Salmonella was isolated in the winter, and the following summer Senftenberg and Cubana were the predominant serotypes (only two Newport isolates and no Give isolates were found). On farm B, Salmonella Kentucky was the predominant serotype in the summer of 2001 but was not found in the winter or summer of 2002. The prevalence of Salmonella was much higher on farm C compared with the other farms and similar to the other farms, serotype prevalence changed with sampling time. In the summer of 2001, Mbandaka and Montevideo were the predominant serotypes, changing to Soerenga in the winter and to Cerro the following summer (although Soerenga and Montevideo were present in the summer of 2002). Farm D had numerous serotypes identified in the summer of 2001 while the following summer, serotype Montevideo was the most common isolate. When the serotype data were pooled across farms, Mbandaka, Montevideo and Kentucky were the most prevalent serotypes isolated in the summer of 2001; Soerenga was the predominant winter isolate; and in the summer of 2002, Montevideo and Senftenberg were the most prevalent serotypes.

Genotypes of selected *Salmonella* isolates as determined by PFGE are presented in Table 3. Multiple genotypes were detected for all serotypes where more than one isolate was examined with the exception of *Salmonella* Give, Meleagridis,

Table 2 Comparison of Salmonella serotype prevalence (no. of isolates and percentage of total) among four dairy farms and three seasonal sampling times

Sampling time	Farm						
	A	В	С	D			
August 2001	Newport (6, 40%)	Kentucky (6, 33%)	Mbandaka (23, 41%)	Mbandaka (2, 22%)			
	Give (6, 40%)	Senftenberg (4, 22%)	Montevideo (14, 25%)	Other (7serotypes; 7, 78%)			
	Senftenberg (2, 13%) Montevideo (3, 17%)		Kentucky (8, 14%)				
	Havana (1, 6%)		Other (6 serotypes; 10, 18%)				
January 2002	None	Montevideo (1, 100%)	Soerenga (12, 75%)	Montevideo (1, 100%)			
			Senftenberg (2, 12%)				
			Cubana (1, 6%)				
			Minnesota (1, 6%)				
August 2002	Senftenberg (7, 35%)	Senftenberg (5, 25%)	Cerro (4, 20%)	Montevideo(16, 80%)			
	Cubana (5, 23%)	Montevideo (5, 25%)	Soerenga (3, 15%)	Kentucky (2, 10%)			
	Newport (2, 9%)	Mbandaka (3, 15%)	Montevideo (3, 15%)	Anatum (2, 10%)			
	Meleagridis (2, 9%)	Cerro (3, 15%)	Senftenberg (3, 15%)				
	Other (4 serotypes; 4, 18%)	Other (3 serotypes; 4, 20%)	Other (7 serotypes; 7, 35%)				

Table 3 Genotypes of selected *Salmonella* isolates collected from lactating dairy cattle*

	Farm				Total†	
Serotype	A	В	С	D	Isolates	Genotypes
Anatum			2 (2)	1	3	3
Bredeny				1	1	1
Cerro				1	1	1
Typhimurium						
var. Copenhagen			1		1	1
Cubana		1	1	1	3	3
Give	1 (6)				6	1
Kentucky		3 (6)	6 (9)	1	16	6
Mbandaka		1	9 (24)	1 (2)	27	8
Meleagridis		1		1	2	1
Minnesota			1		1	1
Montevideo		3 (4)	4 (15)	3 (4)	23	7
Oranienberg			2 (2)		2	2
Newport	3 (5)				5	3
Senftenberg	1 (2)	2 (5)	2 (3)	1	11	4
Soerenga			2 (11)		11	2
3, 10:1, monophasic			2 (2)		2	2
4, 12:2, monophasic		1	. ,	1	2	2

^{*}Number of genotypes on a farm for a given serotype. Values in parentheses indicate the number of isolates of a given serovar isolated on each farm.

Mbandaka (farm D only) and Senftenberg (farm A only). However, in the case of Senftenberg and Mbandaka, when more than two isolates were examined on the other farms, multiple genotypes were found. The most predominant serotypes (total isolates across farms) contained more different genotypes, approximately one different genotype for every three isolates. The one exception is serotype Soerenga, of which 11 isolates were examined and only two different genotypes found.

Identical Salmonella genotypes were often found on multiple farms (Table 4). One genotype of Mbandaka and one of Senftenberg were identified on 2 and 3 farms respectively. Only one serotype (Montevideo) had multiple genotypes (3) with each different genotype observed on multiple farms. Farm B was the only farm where all three Montevideo genotypes were identified. Genotype D of the serotype Senftenberg was the only genotype identified on more than two farms.

DISCUSSION

The dairy industry in the US has changed substantially within the past 20 years. Farm size and animal concentrations have increased (United States Department of Agriculture, National Agriculture Statistics Service 2002), creating

 Table 4 Genotypes of Salmonella serotypes identified on four dairy farms

Serotype	Genotype	No. of isolates	Farm of origin	
Mbandaka	II	14	С	
Mbandaka	II	2	D	
Montevideo	I	11	С	
Montevideo	I	2	В	
Montevideo	III	1	В	
Montevideo	III	2	С	
Montevideo	IV	1	В	
Montevideo	IV	1	D	
Senftenberg	IV	2	A	
Senftenberg	IV	2	В	
Senftenberg	IV	2	С	

new health and environmental concerns. Furthermore, cull dairy cattle contribute approx. 25% of all nonfed beef in the US, thus are an important vehicle for transmission of foodborne pathogens to humans. Research has suggested that almost all dairy farms will have cattle testing positive for E. coli O157:H7 if screened often enough (Hancock et al. 1997) and the National Animal Health Monitoring System Dairy '96 study reported 5.4% of milk cows shed Salmonella and 27.5% of dairy operations had at least one cow shedding Salmonella (Wells et al. 1991). In the present study, we found a wide range in the percentage of cows shedding E. coli O157:H7 (0-35%) and Salmonella (0-93%) depending on sampling time and farm. Previous research has similarly shown a high degree of variation in faecal shedding of E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella in dairy cattle, with reports ranging from 0.9 to 14% (Besser et al. 1997; Hancock et al. 1997; Mechie et al. 1997; Wells et al. 1998) and 5.5 to 57% (Wells *et al.* 1998, 2001) respectively. However, sampling techniques and culture methods were not consistent in these studies and may have contributed to the observed differences. Huston et al. (2002a) reported a wide range of Salmonella prevalence (<1 to 97%) in Ohio dairy herds, similar to what we observed in the present study. In the present study, using identical sampling and culture techniques on multiple farms, we demonstrated the profound differences that may occur in the faecal shedding of E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella, not only between farms but also within a single dairy operation. Reasons for the high degree of variation in pathogen shedding from farm to farm are unknown, but may be related to numerous factors involving farm management, genetics, and nutrition. Management factors (feed types, housing) and seasonal effects associated with pathogen shedding in dairy cattle have been examined (Jones et al. 1982; Mechie et al. 1997; Vaessen et al. 1998; Garber et al. 1999; Kabagambe et al. 2000) and shown to have limited effects on pathogen shedding. However, it is important to note that all four farms sampled

[†]Total number of isolates and genotypes for each serotype on all farms.

in this study were located within an approx. 5-mile radius of one another and cows were housed and fed under similar conditions. Dairy size has also been implicated as a factor affecting faecal shedding of Salmonella. Farms milking >400 cows had more Salmonella-positive animals (56.5%) than 100-399 cow dairies (38.5%), and dairies <100 cows (4.8%) (Wells et al. 1998). Research conducted in Ohio (Huston et al. 2002a) and New York (Warnick et al. 2003) dairy farms reported that herd size was the only factor conducive to Salmonella shedding in dairy cows. In the present study, which sampled dairies with greater than 2000 head, we found similar shedding percentages as those stated previously above for large dairy farms.

Within farm, we found a substantial difference in the prevalence of E. coli O157:H7 (farms A, D) and Salmonella (farms B, D) from summer to summer. The reasons for these differences are unknown. Seasonal differences have been reported for E. coli O157:H7 (Hancock et al. 1997; Mechie et al. 1997; Wells et al. 1998), with shedding more prevalent in the summer months. Others have reported seasonal differences in Salmonella shedding on dairy farms, but no overall pattern when multiple farms were examined (Huston et al. 2002b). We observed a seasonal effect, not only in E. coli O157:H7 but also in Salmonella shedding. However, this explains the winter/summer difference but not the summer to summer differences we observed. A partial explanation may involve Salmonella populations. Interestingly, the decrease in E. coli O157:H7 prevalence from the first summer to the second on farms A and D, was essentially mirrored with an increase in the prevalence of Salmonella. Farms B and C, which had a low prevalence of E. coli O157:H7 at all sampling times, had a high percentage of Salmonella-positive cows each summer. This is consistent with other observations we made, in that dairies with a high percentage of Salmonella-positive animals tend to have very few animals shedding E. coli O157:H7 (T.S. Edrington, unpublished data).

In the present study, we identified 22 different Salmonella serotypes with Montevideo, Senftenberg, Mbandaka and Kentucky being the most common. Others have reported high numbers of Salmonella Montevideo (Galland et al. 2001; Wells et al. 2001; Fitzgerald et al. 2002), Senftenberg (Fitzgerald et al. 2002) and Kentucky (Wells et al. 2001; Huston et al. 2002b) isolated from dairy cattle. The serotype Mbandaka was frequently isolated in this research; however, only a few researchers have reported this isolate in dairy cattle (Jones et al. 1982; Galland et al. 2001; Wells et al. 2001; Huston et al. 2002b). A high diversity of Salmonella serotypes on dairy farms has been reported by others (Wells et al. 2001). The high number of serotypes found in the present study may be a result of the relocation of cows to the expanding dairies in the southwestern US or the introduction of heifers from other off-farm sources. Additional

routes of Salmonella introduction may include, but are not limited to, people, vehicles, feed, rodents and birds.

There was some similarity in serotype prevalence among farms as might be expected due to their close proximity to one another. However, sampling time had a marked effect on the species of Salmonella isolated. On each farm, the most frequently isolated serotype in the summer of 2001 was not found in the winter sampling, and isolated infrequently the following summer. This same trend was observed when serotype data was pooled across farms. Galland et al. (2001) also reported significant serotype diversity due to season in Salmonella isolated from cull dairy cows.

Others have shown evidence of geographical distribution differences for Salmonella Typhimurium DT104, suggesting that epidemiology may differ among Salmonella strains (Besser et al. 2000). Geographical differences have been noted for serotype diversity and also for season of highest prevalence (Galland et al. 2001; Troutt et al. 2001). Spatial and temporal clustering of Salmonella Typhimurium, Montevideo, and several other serotypes has been reported (Sato et al. 2001) in dairy cattle in California; however, these were all isolates obtained from diarrhetic cattle, not healthy cattle as in the present study. These same researchers reported Salmonella prevalence and serotype diversity were greater for samples collected in the western US vs other regions of the US and in the summer months compared with other locations and winter sampling (Galland et al. 2001; Troutt et al. 2001). This may in part explain the differences in our results and the existing literature concerning prevalence rates and predominant serotypes.

The use of PFGE allows for the examination of genetic relatedness among bacterial isolates by comparison of endonuclease restriction band patterns. Multiple genotypes were frequently observed among serotypes within farm and among the four farms sampled. Interestingly, identical Salmonella genotypes (Mbandaka, Senftenberg and Montevideo) were found on multiple farms with one identical Montevideo genotype found on three separate farms. Although farm B is the only closed-herd farm (no animals have entered the herd from outside sources since the mid-1980s), due to the close proximity of these four farms to one another, these results are not surprising. Furthermore, the introduction of new cattle into Ohio dairy herds was not associated with Salmonella shedding status (Huston et al. 2002a). In dairy herds closed for more than 3 years, environmental contamination along with passively or latently infected cattle were suspected in persistent Salmonella infections (Wray et al. 1989). Transfer of Salmonella genotypes from one farm to another could easily occur as mentioned earlier, via vehicles, birds, rodents, insects, wind, water, or other unknown sources of bacterial transmission. To our knowledge this the first research to use PFGE to analyse Salmonella isolated from healthy dairy cattle. Murinda et al. (2002) examined Salmonella isolated from cull dairy cows and bulk tank milk and reported genetic similarity among isolates; however, in all but one case, the related isolates were cultured from the same farm, not multiple farms as in the present study.

The results of our research highlight the genotypic variation in Salmonella isolated from healthy dairy cattle and offers insight into the complexity of the population dynamics of this food-borne pathogen. Furthermore, this study demonstrates the variability of pathogen shedding in healthy dairy cattle and the potential for environmental and human food contamination. The intermittent shedding of food-borne pathogens at the animal and farm level contributes to the challenge of pathogen control during the production stage. However, reducing the amount of foodborne pathogens entering the abattoir could produce 'the most significant reductions in human exposures to the organism and therefore in related illnesses and deaths' (Hynes and Wachsmuth 2000). A thorough understanding of the population dynamics of Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 at the farm level is crucial before implementation of pathogen reduction strategies can be expected to be successful.

REFERENCES

- Besser, T.E., Hancock, D.D., Pritchett, L.C., McRae, E.M., Rice, D.H. and Tarr, P.T. (1997) Duration of detection of fecal excretion of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in cattle. Journal of Infectious Diseases 175, 726–729.
- Besser, T.E., Goldoft, M., Pritchett, L.C., Khakhria, R., Hancock, D.D., Rice, D.H., Gay, J.M., Johnson, W. et al. (2000) Multiresistant Salmonella Typhimurium DT104 infections of humans and domestic animals in the Pacific Northwest of the United States. Epidemiology and Infection 124, 193-200.
- Elder, R.O., Keen, J.E., Siragusa, G.R., Barkocy-Gallagher, G.A., Koohmaraie, M. and Laegreid, W.W. (2000) Correlation of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157 prevalence in feces, hides, and carcasses of beef cattle during processing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 97, 2999-3003.
- Fedorka-Cray, P.J., Dargatz, D.A., Thomas, L.A. and Gray, J.T. (1998) Survey of Salmonella serotypes in feedlot cattle. Journal of Food Protection 61, 525-530.
- Fitzgerald, A.C., Edrington, T.S., Looper, M.L., Callaway, T.R., Genovese, K.J., Bischoff, K.M., McReynolds, J.L., Thomas, J.D. et al. (2002) Antimicrobial susceptibility and factors affecting the shedding of E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella in dairy cattle. Letters in Applied Microbiology 37, 392-398.
- Galland, J.C., Troutt, H.F., Brewer, R.L., Osburn, B.I., Braun, R.K, Sears, P., Schmitz, J.A., Childers, A.B. et al. (2001) Diversity of Salmonella serotypes in cull (market) dairy cows at slaughter. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 219, 1216–1220.
- Gansheroff, L.J. and O'Brien, A.D. (2000) Escherichia coli O157:H7 in beef cattle presented for slaughter in the U.S.: higher prevalence

- rates that previously estimated. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 97, 2959-2961.
- Garber, L., Wells, S., Schroeder-Tucker, L. and Ferris, K. (1999) Factors associated with fecal shedding of verotoxin-producing Escherichia coli O157 on dairy farms. Journal of Food Protection 62, 307-312.
- Hancock, D.D., Besser, T.E., Rice, D.H., Herriott, D.E. and Tarr, P.I. (1997) A longitudinal study of Escherichia coli O157 in fourteen cattle herds. Epidemiology and Infection 118, 193-195.
- Hancock, D.D., Besser, T.E. and Rice, D.H. (1998) Ecology of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in cattle and impact of management practices. In Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Other Shiga Toxin-Producing E. coli Strains ed. Kaper, J.B and O'Brien, A.D. pp. 85-91. Washington, DC: American Society of Microbiology Press.
- Hume, M.E., Harvey, R.B., Stanker, L.H., Droleskey, R.L., Poole, T.L. and Zhang, H.B. (2001) Genotypic variation among Arcobacter isolates from a farrow-to-finish swine facility. Journal of Food Protection 64, 645-651.
- Huston, C.L., Wittum, T.E. and Love, B.C. (2002a) Persistent fecal Salmonella shedding in five dairy herds. Journal of the American Veterinary Association 220, 650-655.
- Huston, C.L., Wittum, T.E., Love, B.C. and Keen, J.E. (2002b) Prevalence of fecal shedding of Salmonella spp. in dairy herds. Journal of the American Veterinary Association 220, 645-649.
- Hynes, N.A. and Wachsmuth, I.K. (2000) Escherichia coli O157:H7 risk assessment in ground beef: a public health tool. Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Shiga Toxin-Producing Escherichia coli infections. Kyoto, Japan. p. 46. Abstract T3-4.
- Jones, P.W., Collins, P., Brown, G.T.H. and Aitken, M. (1982) Transmission of Salmonella mbandaka to cattle from contaminated feed. Journal of Hygiene Cambridge 88, 255-263.
- Kabagambe, E.K., Wells, S.J., Garber, L.P., Salman, M.D., Wagner, B. and Fedorka-Cray, P.J. (2000) Risk factors for fecal shedding of Salmonella in 91 US dairy herds in 1996. Preventative Veterinary Medicine 43, 177-194.
- Mechie, S.C., Chapman, P.A. and Siddons, C.A. (1997) A fifteenmonth study of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in a dairy herd. Epidemiology and Infection 118, 17-25.
- Murinda, S.E., Nguyen, L.T., Ivey, S.J., Gillespie, B.E., Almeida, R.A., Draughon, F.A. and Oliver, S.P. (2002) Molecular characterization of Salmonella spp. isolated from bulk tank milk and cull dairy cow fecal samples. Journal of Food Protection 65, 1100-1105.
- Sato, K., Carpenter, T.E., Case, J.T. and Walker, R.L. (2001) Spatial and temporal clustering of Salmonella serotypes isolated from adult diarrheic dairy cattle in California. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 13, 206-212.
- Troutt, H.F., Galland, J.C., Osburn, B.I., Brewer, R.L., Braun, R.K., Schmitz, J.A., Sears, P., Childers, A.B. et al. (2001) Prevalence of Salmonella in cull (market) dairy cows at slaughter. Journal of the American Veterinary Association 219, 1212-1215.
- United States Department of Agriculture, National Agriculture Statistics Service (2002) Milk Cows and Production. Available at: http://www.usda.gov/nass/ (Last accessed: December 1, 2002).
- Vaessen, M.A., Veling, J., Frankena, K., Graat, E.A.M. and Klunder, T. (1998) Risk factors for Salmonella Dublin infection on dairy farms. Veterinary Quarterly 20, 97-99.

- Warnick, L.D., Kanistanon, K., McDonough, P.L. and Power, L. (2003) Effect of previous antimicrobial treatment on fecal shedding of Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serogroup B in New York dairy herds with recent clinical salmonellosis. Preventative Veterinary Medicine 56, 285–297.
- Wells, J.G., Shipman, L.D., Greene, K.D., Sowers, E.G., Green, J.H., Cameron, D.N., Downes, F.P., Martin, M.L. et al. (1991) Isolation of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 and other shiga-like-toxin-producing *E. coli* from dairy cattle. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 29, 985–989.
- Wells, S., Fedorka-Cray, P.J., Besser, T., McDonough, P. and Smith, B.(1998) E. coli O157:H7 and Salmonella – Status on U.S. Dairy Operations. USDA/APHIS/VS. Fort Collins, CO: Centers for Epidemiology and Animal Health.
- Wells, S.J., Fedorka-Cray, P.J., Dargatz, D.A., Ferris, K. and Green, A. (2001) Fecal shedding of Salmonella spp. by dairy cows on farm and at cull cow markets. Journal of Food Protection 64, 3–11.
- Wray, C., Wadsworth, D. and Richards, D. (1989) A three-year study of Salmonella Dublin infection in a closed dairy herd. Veterinary Record 124, 532–535.